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The cell surface is the primary recognition site between the bacterium and the host. An operon of three genes, LSEI_0219 (cwaR), LSEI_0220 (cwaS), and LSEI_0221 (ldcA), has been previously identified as required for the establishment of Lactobacillus paracasei in the gut. The genes cwaR and cwaS encode a predicted two-component system (TCS) and ldcA a predicted d-alanyl-d-alanine carboxypeptidase which is a peptidoglycan (PG) biosynthesis enzyme. We explored the functionality and the physiological role of these three genes, particularly their impact on the bacterial cell wall architecture and on the bacterial adaptation to environmental perturbations in the gut.

The functionality of CwaS/R proteins as a TCS has been demonstrated by biochemical analysis. It is involved in the transcriptional regulation of several genes of the PG biosynthesis. Analysis of the muropeptides of PG in mutants allowed us to re-annotate LSEI_0221 as a putative l,d-carboxypeptidase (LdcA). The absence of this protein coincided with a decrease of two surface antigens: LSEI_0020, corresponding to p40 or msp2 whose implication in the host epithelial homeostasis has been recently studied, and LSEI_2029 which has never been functionally characterized. The inactivation of each of these three genes induces susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides (hBD1, hBD2, and CCL20), which could be the main cause of the gut establishment deficiency. Thus, this operon is necessary for the presence of two surface antigens and for a suitable cell wall architecture.
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INTRODUCTION

Advances in metagenomics have highlighted the diversity of functions encoded by the microbiota, the variability of the microbiota composition between individuals, and the link between gut microbiota composition and chronic diseases, cancer, and obesity (Arumugam et al., 2011; Lozupone et al., 2012; The Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012; Sonnenburg and Bäckhed, 2016; Zitvogel et al., 2017). The importance of intestinal microbiota for health is recognized, and scientific efforts are currently focused on...
understanding the ecological successions of microbes and microbial functions during host–microbe interactions (Gensollen et al., 2016; Milani et al., 2017; Sommer et al., 2017). Some bacterial molecules have been identified to act as signaling molecules during this interaction, such as short-chain fatty acids and CpG-DNA (cytosine-phosphate-guanine site in the single-stranded linear sequence) (Koh et al., 2016). The main components of this interaction, classed as microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), come from the bacterial cell envelope [peptidoglycan (PG), lipopolysaccharides, flagellin, teichoic acids]. They can act either in the cell wall-bound form or as released fragments for cell-to-cell signaling (Takeuchi and Akira, 2010; Clemente et al., 2012; Matos et al., 2017).

As natural inhabitants of fermented food and feed (plants, meat, dairy), as well as the oral cavity, gut, and genital tracts, Lactobacillus species share a good genetic arsenal to fit new and sometimes harsh environments (Makarova et al., 2006; Fiocco et al., 2019). Their high adaptability to environmental perturbations results from an accurate coordination of cellular processes (production of chaperones and DNA repair proteins, induction of metabolic pathways or transport systems, modifications of membrane composition) mediated by networks of regulators and also two-component systems (TCSs) (van de Guchte et al., 2002). Lactobacillus casei/paracasei is one of the best-equipped of the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) to sense and respond to environmental changes since the genome of L. paracasei ATCC 334 possesses 16 complete and one incomplete TCSs and 124 transcriptional regulators (Cai et al., 2009; Alcantara et al., 2011) (and our in silico analysis). Their resistance can also be attributed to their cell wall architecture which is the base for the maintenance of cell shape and integrity and, via the proteins exposed, for direct interaction with the biotic or abiotic environment (Chapot-Chartier and Kulakauskas, 2014). The cell wall of Lactobacillus is composed of a PG layer decorated with teichoic acids and anchored proteins like PG hydrolases and LPxTG proteins that surround the cytoplasmic membrane.

To explore the way commensal bacteria begin to colonize the gut, we have taken L. paracasei ATCC 334 (formerly named L. casei ATCC 334) as a model foodborne bacterium able to establish, at least transiently, in the gut and interact with the host (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2012, 2014; Scornec et al., 2014). Escherichia coli strains TG1 and BL21(DE3) were used as cloning and expression hosts, respectively. They were grown in LB medium at 37°C with shaking. Recombinant plasmids in E. coli were selected in LB medium containing 50 µg ml⁻¹ kanamycin.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Growth Conditions**

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. L. paracasei ATCC 334 and mutants were grown statically at 37°C in MRS medium (Difco), supplemented with 5 µg ml⁻¹ erythromycin for mutants. The following mutants, McwaR, McwaS, MldcA, MldtA, MldtC, and dltD, were obtained by transposon mutagenesis with P₃₃3-accessptase1223 and identified by individual sequencing as previously described (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2012, 2014; Scornec et al., 2014). DNA Techniques

All DNA manipulations were performed according to standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). Plasmids were isolated by using Nucleospin plasmid miniprep kit (BioBasics). PCR was performed using 0.02 unit of Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific).

**Stress Conditions for qRT-PCR Analysis**

For 15-min stresses, culture in exponential phase [OD at 600 nm (OD) = 0.6] was suspended in a volume of MRS supplemented with NaCl 1 M, bile 3 g/l, acid (HCl) pH 3.0, lactic acid pH 3.0, penicillin 0.1 µg/ml, vancomycin 0.5 g/l, or ethanol 15% (v/v) (Palud et al., 2018). For thermal stresses, phosphate buffer (20 mM pH 6.0) at 4 or 50°C was used instead of MRS. For sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) treatment, phosphate buffer was supplemented with SDS 0.05% (v/v). MRS or phosphate buffer was used as the reference.

**RNA Extractions and qRT-PCR Analysis**

PG-related genes were selected based on L. paracasei ATCC 334 genome annotation (NCBI annotation number NC_008526.1 and NC_008502.1).

Whole RNA was extracted from 50 ml of culture in exponential phase (OD = 0.6) and 10 ml in stationary phase (OD = 3.5) after bead beating disruption using Tri reagent method (Sigma), and cDNA were synthesized as previously...
Plasmids

**Strains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains and plasmids</th>
<th>Genotype and/or relevant feature(s)</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. paracasei</em> ATCC 334</td>
<td>Wild type, CIP 107/866, genome sequenced</td>
<td>Collection Institut Pasteur, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McwaR mutant</td>
<td>ATCC 334 LSEI_0219pwp110, Em&amp;r</td>
<td>Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McwaS mutant</td>
<td>ATCC 334 LSEI_0220pwp110, Em&amp;r</td>
<td>Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MlacA mutant</td>
<td>ATCC 334 LSEI_0221pwp110, Em&amp;r</td>
<td>Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MlacT mutant</td>
<td>ATCC 334 LSEI_0794pwp110, Em&amp;r</td>
<td>Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MlacD mutant</td>
<td>ATCC 334 LSEI_0797pwp110, Em&amp;r</td>
<td>Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli TG1</td>
<td><em>SupE</em> <em>sdh</em>Δthi ΔI/lac-proABF* (tra D36 pro AB+ lac F λ lacZΔM15)</td>
<td>Gibson, 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli BL21 (DE3)</td>
<td>F*-<em>ompT</em> <em>hsdS</em>Δ (R-b^mB^-) gal dcm (DE3)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli TG1 pETcwaR</td>
<td><em>SupE</em> <em>sdh</em>Δthi ΔI/lac-proABF* (tra D36 pro AB+ lac F λ lacZΔM15) with pETcwaR</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli TG1 pETcwaS</td>
<td><em>SupE</em> <em>sdh</em>Δthi ΔI/lac-proABF* (tra D36 pro AB+ lac F λ lacZΔM15) with pETcwaS</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plasmids**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmids</th>
<th>Genotype and/or relevant feature(s)</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pET28a+</td>
<td>Kan^R, vector for overexpression of His-tagged proteins using the T7 bacteriophage promoter</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pETcwaR</td>
<td>pET28a + containing LSEI_0219 gene between <em>NcoI</em> and <em>XhoI</em> sites to overproduce CwaR His^+ tag</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pETcwaS</td>
<td>pET28a + containing truncated LSEI_0220 gene coding for residues 137-396 of the HK protein between <em>NcoI</em> and <em>XhoI</em> sites to overproduce cCwaS His^+ tag</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plasmid Constructs and Generation of *E. coli* Strains Overproducing RR0219 and cHK0220

Full-length *cwaS* was amplified using primers LSEI_0219FbiasNcoI (5'-ATACCATGGGCATAATTTTAAATGGTTGA-3') and LSEI_0219RxhoI (5'-GTGCTCGAGGGCTCAACCTTATAGCCGA-3'), and the cytosolic domain of *CwaS*, cCwaS (residues 137 to 396) was amplified using primers LSEI_0220FHAMPNcoI (5'-ATACCATGGGCACCGTCAATTCAATGCCG-3') and LSEI_0220RxhoI (5'-GTGCTCGAGGTATGCATTTTCTTCTTCTC-3') from the *L. paracasei* genomic DNA. The forward primers contained the *NcoI* site in frame with the translation start codon, and the reverse primers contained the *XhoI* site. After restriction digestion, the amplicons were ligated to *NcoI*-*XhoI* digested pET28a+ expression vector using T4 DNA ligase HC (Fermentas). The resulting ligation products were directly transformed by electroporation into the *E. coli* TG1 strain as described by Dower et al. (1988) to obtain pETCwaR and pETcCwaS constructs. Plasmids were isolated from selected transformants and subjected to sequencing reactions (GATC Biotech). The pETCwaR and the pETcCwaS were transformed into competent *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells by the CaCl$_2$ procedure (Cohen et al., 1972), and protein expression was induced at OD$_{600}$ ≈ 0.5 in 1 L of LB with the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG for 3 h at 37°C.

**Cell Extracts and Protein Purification**

*Escherichia coli* or *Lactobacillus paracasei* cell extracts were prepared as previously described (Gury et al., 2009). For protein purification, the filtrates were applied to a Protino® Ni-NTA column (Macherey-Nagel), and the CwaR and cCwaS proteins were eluted with imidazole gradient.

**Autophosphorylation and Phosphotransfer Assay**

Immediately before use, 0.5 ml of purified CwaR and CcwaS proteins were concentrated using an ultrafree 0.5 centrifugal filter unit (Millipore). The autophosphorylation procedure was adapted from Abo-Amer et al. (2004). Briefly, 6 µg of cCwaS protein was incubated in a final volume of 20 µl phosphorylation buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MnCl$_2$, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 50 mM KCl, 20 µCi of [γ-32P]-ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol). Reaction was stopped by addition of 4 µl SDS loading buffer. For the phosphotransfer reaction, 10 µg of CwaR protein was added.
after 60 min of cCwaS protein autophosphorylation, and samples were quenched by the addition of 5 μl SDS loading buffer. The reaction mixtures were analyzed by 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), followed by autoradiography.

**Phosphorylation of CwaR Protein by Small-Molecule Phosphoryl Donors**

The phosphorylation by acetyl phosphate protocol was adapted from Molle and Buttner (2000) and Hutchings et al. (2006). [32P]-acetyl phosphate was prepared by incubating acetate kinase (0.4 units; A7437, Sigma) in 55 μl of 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 60 mM potassium acetate, 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 μCi [γ-32P]-ATP (3,000 Ci/mmol) for 30 min at 25°C. The [32P]-acetyl phosphate solution was mixed with 40 μl of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MnCl₂, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 50 mM KCl containing 40 μg of CwaR protein. After incubation at 37°C, samples of 18 μl were quenched by addition of 2 μl of SDS loading buffer and analyzed by a 12.5% SDS-PAGE, followed by autoradiography.

**Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays**

Electrophoretic mobility shift experiments were performed on cytosolic protein extract as previously described with some modifications (Gury et al., 2004). The 443-pb DNA probe, named PromcwaR, corresponding to the whole LSE1_0218-LSE1_0219 intergenic region was PCR amplified with primers P0219F-189 (5′-GCTAAGGCTTTTGTCAATATGG-3′) and P0219R254 (5′-CTTAGTAATTCGACGATTTC-3′). The fragment was 3′ end-labeled with digoxigenin (DIG)-11-ddUTP with dig gel shift kit, second generation (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. Before binding reactions, protein extract was heated for 10 min at 65°C, then it was incubated with 1 μl of 1/500 PromcwaR probe in 15 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5% glycerol (v/v), 2 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EDTA, 2.5 μg.ml⁻¹ of bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 2.5 μg.ml⁻¹ of salmon sperm DNA as an unspecific DNA competitor in a 15-μl reaction volume for 20 min at 30°C. The samples were resolved onto 5% (w/v) PAGE gel in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 400 mM glycine, and 1 mM EDTA at 4°C. The DNA was transferred to a nylon membrane by electroblotting, probed with anti-DIG-AP antibody (Roche), and visualized using chemiluminescence.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

Cells were pelleted by centrifugation and fixed overnight at 4°C in 3% glutaraldehyde (v/v), 2% formaldehyde (v/v), 5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. Then, cells were pellet, washed, and embedded in 2.25% (w/v) low-gelling temperature agarose. Samples were fixed in 0.5% OsO₄ (m/v) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2 for 1 h at 4°C, then dehydrated through graded concentrations of ethanol then propylene oxide, and embedded in Epon 812 (Merck, Lyon, France). Ultrathin sections were stained with PATag method as described previously (Thiéry, 1967) and observed at 80 kV on a transmission electron microscope (H-7500, Hitachi, Tokyo).

**Peptidoglycan Extraction and Analysis**

Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334 and the MldA mutant were purified and analyzed as described by de Jonge et al. (1992) with some modifications (Girardin et al., 2003). The traces are representative data of the three replicates.

**Minimal Inhibitory Concentration Determination**

The minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of penicillin, bacitracin, nisin, and vancomycin against L. paracasei ATCC 334 and MldA mutant were determined by a microdilution technique. Overnight cell cultures were diluted 1:100 in fresh MRS media and added in individual wells containing various concentrations of antibiotic (series of twofold dilutions). The MIC was defined as the lowest antimicrobial concentration preventing visible turbidity after 24 h of incubation at 37°C.

**Microbial Adhesion to Solvents Test**

A Microbial Adhesion To Solvents (MATS) test was performed as described previously (Bellon-Fontaine et al., 1996) with chloroform (acidic solvent and electron acceptor), ethyl acetate (basic solvent and electron donor), and hexadecane (hydrophobic solvent). Overnight cultures of each strain were washed and suspended at OD = 0.4 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) (A₀). This suspension (3 ml) was mixed with 1 ml of solvent (chloroform, ethyl acetate, or hexadecane) for 3 min with vortex. After 15 min (partition of phases), the OD of the aqueous phase was measured (A₁). The percentage of adhesion was calculated as follows:% adhesion = 100 × ((A₀ - A₁)/A₀). All experiments were repeated at least three times on three biological repeats.

**Triton X-100-Induced Autolysis**

Lactobacillus paracasei strains were grown on MRS medium to mid-exponential phase (OD = 0.8). Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed, and then suspended to OD of 1.0 in a 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, supplemented with 0.05% Triton X-100 (v/v). Cell suspensions were then transferred in 96-well sterile clear microplates (Nunc) and incubated 24 h at 30°C under agitation. Autolysis was monitored by measuring the OD. All experiments were repeated at least three times on three biological repeats.

**Surface Protein Extraction and Identification**

Surface proteins were extracted by 5 M of LiCl according to the Smit et al. (2001) procedure (Zhang et al., 2010). Briefly, 20 ml of bacterial cultures were washed twice with ice distilled water. The cell pellets were suspended in 2 ml of 5 M of LiCl and incubated 1 h at 37°C with shaking. Suspensions were centrifuged 10,000 g at 10°C for 20 min, then supernatants were precipitated with 4 ml of cold acetone and incubated for 2 h at −20°C. The precipitated proteins were harvested by centrifugation (10,000 g, 10 min at 4°C). The precipitates were suspended in loading SDS buffer.
then boiled 3 min and analyzed by 12.5% SDS-PAGE. Briefly, for protein identifications, proteins were reduced, alkylated, then digested by trypsin (Promega) for 3 h at 37°C. Tryptic digests were separated and analyzed by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectroscopy (LC-MS/MS) (nanoLC-ESI-Trap, Orbitrap ELITE, Thermo Scientific). Protein identification was performed using Proteome Discoverer 1.4 software against a protein database of *L. paracasei* ATCC 334 strain (GenBank: NC_008526.1 and NC_008502.1).

**Challenge in Stressful Conditions**

Overnight cultures were combined to get a proportion of 2% of mutant and 98% of ATCC 334. The resulting mixed cultures were washed with PBS pH 7.4, suspended to a final OD of 0.1 and challenged during 16 h at 37°C in diluted MRS (1/20), used as a low-nutrient medium, and modified to obtain different stressful conditions: (i) low-nutrient, acidic (HCl) (pH 3.5), (ii) low-nutrient, bile supplemented (3 g.l⁻¹), (iii) low-nutrient, lysozyme-supplemented (10 g.l⁻¹). The concentrations of mutant relative to ATCC 334 were determined by counting (CFU.ml⁻¹) on MRS + Ery 5 µg.ml⁻¹ and MRS plates. Resistance to stress was assessed by determining the ratio of mutant to the ATCC 334 strain and comparing it to the initial ratio.

**Susceptibility to Cationic Peptides**

Cationic peptide susceptibility tests were performed as previously described (Hugo et al., 2012). Bacterial cultures (50 µl) diluted in 50 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpoperazine-N9-2-ethanesulfonic acid (Hepes) buffer pH 7.5 at 4.10⁻¹⁻¹ were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with 50 µl of hBD1, hBD2, LL37, or CCL20 (Abcam) at 20 µg.ml⁻¹ in Hepes buffer for 1 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Treated and non-treated bacteria were then incubated for 5 min at room temperature with 400 µl of propidium iodide (PI) at 5 µg.ml⁻¹. The ratio of PI(+) cells was assessed by flow cytometry (FACSCanto, Diva software; Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA, United States). For each sample, 10,000 events were analyzed.

**Statistical Analyses and Software**

Data are expressed as arithmetic means ± SD. The statistical analyses and the software used are indicated in the figure legends. Significant differences are indicated by (*) in the figures.

**RESULTS**

**Predictive Analysis of Operon cwaRS-ldcA and Polar Effect of the Transposon Insertion**

The *cwaRS-ldcA* predicted operon is composed of three genes (Figure 1A). The *cwaR* gene (*LSEI_0219*) belongs to the OmpR family and is a predicted response regulator (RR); the *cwaS* gene (*LSEI_0220*) is a predicted signal transduction histidine kinase (HK); the *ldcA* gene (*LSEI_0221*) is a predicted D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase (penicillin-binding protein). This family of TCS is widely encountered in the bacterial world. In lactobacilli, it can be found in three different genetic organizations (Figure 1B). The TCS genes can be followed by a D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase as in *L. paracasei* or by another putative operon composed of *murE* (UDP-N-acetylmuramoylalanyl-D-glutamate-2,6-diaminopimelate ligase) and *racD* (aspartate racemase). In a few species, the locus is only composed of the TCS predicted operon. The first and the third configurations are observed in vancomycin-resistant *Lactobacillus* species (Mackey et al., 1993; Wilks et al., 2004; Klare et al., 2007; Balandino et al., 2008) except for *L. fermentum* which displays a variable strain-dependent vancomycin resistance (Rossetti et al., 2009). The second configuration is only observed in the vancomycin-susceptible species.

The coding sequences of *cwaR* and *cwaS* are separated by only five nucleotides, and *cwaS* and *ldcA* partially overlap. Thus, we assumed that these three genes were co-transcribed, which was confirmed by RT-PCR (data not shown). To determine if transposon insertion in the operon triggers a polar effect, qRT-PCR was performed for (or not) the genes in the three corresponding mutants during exponential and stationary phases of growth (Figure 1C). Transcripts corresponding to *cwaS* and *ldcA* are in *cwaR* mutant (McwaR, pV1110 insertion in *cwaR* gene) and transcripts corresponding to *ldcA* in *cwaS* mutant (McwsA, pV1110 insertion in *cwaS* gene) were at least fivefold lower than in the ATCC 334 parental strain, meaning that transcription is affected downstream of the transposon insertion site. Also, transcript levels corresponding to genes upstream of the transposon insertion in these two mutants (McwaS and MldcA) were similar to the ATCC 334, meaning that transposon insertion does not affect the stability of the upstream transcripts. In *MldcA* mutant, the transcript for the *ldcA* gene is not affected by insertional inactivation because the transposon insertion site is rather at the end of the *ldcA* gene, but this locus corresponds to the middle of the predicted PBP5-C domain (Figure 1D) (Letunic et al., 2014). Thus, the protein function must be inactivated in this mutant. One possible assumption was that the defect of these three mutants in gut establishment could result from the inactivation of activity or the transcriptional decrease of *ldcA* only.

**Physiological Role of D-Ala-D-Ala Carboxypeptidase for *L. paracasei***

The *ldcA* gene encodes a predicted D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase, a hydrolase involved in PG maturation which cleaves the pentapeptide side chain of PG strand between the fourth and the fifth (and last) alanine residues, thereby allowing transpeptidation of two PG strands. For *L. casei/paracasei*, the last D-alanine residue is substituted by a D-lactate, conferring vancomycin resistance (Handwerger et al., 1994; Billot-Klein et al., 1997). This function is essential for PG synthesis and, since no other *L. paracasei* genes are predicted to encode this enzyme, *ldcA* inactivation should be lethal. However, the MldcA mutant is viable and grows as well as the ATCC 334 in culture medium (Licandro-Serault et al., 2014). In view of this, it is likely that the annotation is not
correct: the enzyme probably has another activity and there is another enzyme with the activity D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase like LSEI_0141 (annotated as a microcin self-immunity protein in ATCC 334 strain and as containing a peptidase domain activity with D,L-carboxypeptidase activity in other L. casei genomes) and LSEI_2553 (uncharacterized but annotated as containing a peptidase domain which has a possible carboxypeptidase activity). Thus, we decided to test whether ldcA truly encoded the predicted function. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of the MldcA mutant cultivated in exponential and early stationary phases of growth demonstrated that its cell morphology was similar to that of the ATCC 334 with length between 1 and 2 µm and width between 0.4 and 0.6 µm (Figure 2). Moreover, its PG thickness was not altered in the mutant with a value of 19.4 ± 3.6 nm.

To assign a biochemical function to the enzyme encoded by ldcA gene, an analysis of muropeptides was performed on MldcA mutant and ATCC 334 strains. The reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) muropeptide profiles revealed that the PG composition of MldcA mutant differs from that of ATCC 334: tripeptides (peaks 1, 12, 36, 38, 46, 72, 91) are less represented while tetrapeptides (peaks 6, 10, 18, 51, 78, 95) are more represented. However, the PG cross-linking index was approximately the same (Figures 3A,B). These results suggest an L,D-carboxypeptidase activity, which cleaves the peptide chain of PG between L-Lys and D-Ala, rather than the predicted D,D-carboxypeptidase activity (Figure 3C). Thus, the LSEI_0221 gene was named ldcA for its putative L,D-carboxypeptidase activity. The McwaR and McwaS mutants presented the same profiles as the MldcA mutant (data not shown).

**Consequences of the Peptidoglycan Composition on the Surface Properties**

The PG composition differences between MldcA mutant and ATCC 334 could impact surface properties that are essential for antibiotics and autolysis resistances and for biofilm formation.

---

**FIGURE 1** Description of cwaRS-ldcA locus. (A) Locations of the different transposon insertions are shown with arrows a, b, and c for mutants McwaR, McwaS, and MldcA, respectively. (B) Comparison of the organization in different Lactobacillus species. rr, response regulator gene; hk, histidine kinase gene. (C) Relative transcript levels of L. casei mutants in stationary and exponential phase of growth in MRS broth. Transcript levels of each gene are expressed as the relative fold change, with L. paracasei ATCC 334 as the reference condition (fold change = 1). Three biological repeats were performed, and bars indicate standard deviations. Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired Student t-test: *P < 0.01; **P < 0.001. (D) Smart schema of the domains within LdcA protein and location of the transposon in mutant MldcA.

---
Operon of Lactobacillus paracasei

Thus, these properties were evaluated for the MldcA mutant and compared to the ATCC 334. The MICs of four antimicrobials (penicillin, bacitracin, nisin, and vancomycin), which inhibit PG biogenesis at different steps, were determined (Figure 4A). As the MldcA mutant was not more susceptible to vancomycin than the ATCC 334, we concluded that the altered PG of the mutant still contained pentadepsipeptides ending with D-Ala-D-Lac (Handwerger et al., 1994; Billot-Klein et al., 1997). On the contrary, the mutant displayed an increased susceptibility to penicillin, bacitracin, and nisin. The increased susceptibility to penicillin corresponded to the expected phenotype for a PBP defective mutant. The increased bacitracin susceptibility suggested a slower renewal of PG since this antibiotic inhibits undecaprenyl phosphate regeneration, an indispensable step to synthesize new PG strains. The nisin acts as a membrane disturber. The reason why MldcA mutant was more susceptible to nisin than ATCC 334 could be an easier passage of nisin through the cell wall, most likely due to a change in surface polarity and/or by a slower renewal of PG (nisin binds lipid II and inhibits PG synthesis).

Thus, bacterial surface properties were assessed by MATS tests on the MldcA mutant, ATCC 334, and MdltA mutant (LSEI_0794). The MdltA mutant is altered in teichoid acid D-alanylation, a function that contributes to reduce the negative net charge of the cell wall surface (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003). The MATS values indicate that L. paracasei ATCC 334 surface displays basic, acidic, and hydrophobic properties in agreement with previous observations (Munoz-Provencio et al., 2009) (Figure 4B). The MdltA mutant displays a higher surface hydrophobicity than ATCC 334. Surface properties of the MldcA mutant are the same as the ATCC 334. Thus, PG modifications in the MldcA mutant do not impact surface properties and do not seem to alter teichoic acid presentation at the cell surface.

Autolysis profiles were assessed by exposing bacterial cells to Triton X-100, a detergent known to remove the LTA. LTA acts as an inhibitor on the general bacterial autolytic system (endogenous autolysins) (Raychaudhuri and Chatterjee, 1985). As a Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG ΔdltD mutant has been reported to display an increased autolysis (Perea Velez et al., 2007), the L. paracasei MdltA mutant was also submitted to this autolysis test for comparison. The MldcA mutant exhibited higher Triton X-100-induced autolysis rates than the ATCC 334 strain (Figure 4C). This autolysis rate was similar to the MdltA mutant during the first 2 h and was even higher after. This observation suggested either a higher recruitment of autolysins in this mutant, despite a correct teichoic acid presentation, or the structure of the PG renders it more susceptible to autolysin activity.

Functionality of the CwaRS TCS in vitro

The cwaR gene was overexpressed in E. coli, and the resulting CwaR protein was purified. CwaR size analyzed by SDS-PAGE was consistent with that expected (27 kDa) (Figure 5A). Incubation of purified CwaR with 32P radiolabeled acetyl-phosphate showed that CwaR was able to autophosphorylate using acetyl phosphate, a molecule which is a common phosphate donor (Figure 5A). The cytosolic domain of CwaS, named cCwaS, corresponding to residues 137–396 of the CwaS protein,
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was overexpressed in E. coli, purified and a 29-kDa protein was obtained. It was able to autophosphorylate using $[\gamma-^{32}P]$-ATP as the phosphate donor which confirms the predicted kinase function (Figure 5B). To visualize if CwaS-R can function together as a TCS in vitro, the radiolabeled phosphorylated cCwaS was incubated with the purified CwaR. A partial dephosphorylation of the cCwaS and a phosphorylation of the CwaR were visible, demonstrating that the cCwaS was able to transfer phosphate to the CwaR (Figure 5B). The loss of the $^{32}$P from cCwaS-P was much greater than the gain of $^{32}$P on CwaR, but it is an in vitro experiment and the phosphorylation rate is not 100%. The predicted binding phosphate residues are His163 for CwaS and Asp52 for CwaR (determined by in silico analysis).

EMSA were carried out with the promoter of the cwaRS-ldcA operon for two purposes. The first was to complete the demonstration of the functionality of the TCS in vitro. The second was to determine whether the TCS satisfies the observation that, when part of an operon, it is generally able to act on its own promoter and to autoregulate the whole operon (Stock et al., 2000). The phosphorylated and unphosphorylated forms of the purified CwaR protein were incubated individually with a 443-bp probe, named PromcwaR, corresponding to the whole LSEI_0218-cwaR intergenic region for EMSA. Despite all our attempts using different experimental conditions (binding buffer, freshly prepared CwaR, phosphorylated or unphosphorylated, ...), no interaction between the PromcwaR probe and the purified RR could be observed (data not shown). The failure of EMSA with the purified CwaR could be due to CwaR misfolding during protein production, to non-adapted buffer conditions, or the lack of a co-factor or protein partner. Thus, EMSAs were

### FIGURE 3

| Muropeptide profiles of L. paracasei ATCC 334 and ldcA mutant. (A) Reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) separation profiles of muropeptides of L. paracasei and ldcA mutant, peptidoglycans were digested by mutanolysin. Numbers correspond to the main muropeptides whose amounts changed between ATCC 334 and ldcA mutant. (B) Main muropeptide compositions, Tri, disaccharide tripeptide ($L$-Ala-$D$-iGln-$L$-Lys); Tetra, disaccharide tetrapeptide ($L$-Ala-$D$-iGln-$L$-Lys-$D$-Ala); Tri-N, disaccharide Tetra-N, disaccharide; Tetra-N, GlcNAc-MurNAc; iGln, isoglutamine; N, $D$-Asn; D, $D$-Asp. Percentage of each peak was calculated as the ratio of the peak area to all peak areas. Cross-linking index was calculated according to Glauner (1988) with the formula $(1/2 \Sigma$ dimers + $2/3 \Sigma$ trimers + $3/4 \Sigma$ tetramers and more)/ $\Sigma$ all muropeptides. (C) Schematic structure of L. paracasei ATCC 334 peptidoglycan. The arrow indicates the cleavage site of LdcA enzyme, and the crossed arrow indicates the incorrect, predicted function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>Proposed structure</th>
<th>$m/z$ [M+H]</th>
<th>% of all peaks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>ATCC 334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monomers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tri</td>
<td>826.40</td>
<td>826.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tetra</td>
<td>897.44</td>
<td>897.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tetra-D</td>
<td>1012.47</td>
<td>1012.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tri-N</td>
<td>940.45</td>
<td>940.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Tetra-N</td>
<td>1011.49</td>
<td>1011.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Tri-N-Tetra-D</td>
<td>1933.91</td>
<td>1933.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Tri-N-Tetra</td>
<td>1818.86</td>
<td>1818.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Tri-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>1932.93</td>
<td>1932.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Tetra-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>2003.97</td>
<td>2003.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimmers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Tri-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>2925.40</td>
<td>2925.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Tetra-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>2996.44</td>
<td>2996.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teteamers and more</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Tri-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>3917.88</td>
<td>3917.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Tetra-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N-Tetra-N</td>
<td>3988.91</td>
<td>3988.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cross-linking Index

| 42.7 | 39.7 |

L. casei ATCC 334

Lcasei

LSEI_0221 mutant
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**Operon of Lactobacillus paracasei**

**FIGURE 4** | Analysis of surface properties of ATCC 334 and ldcA mutant of L. paracasei. (A) Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ATCC 334 and the ldcA mutants in µg.ml⁻¹. (B) Microbial Adhesion To Solvents (MATs) tests of ATCC 334 and the ldcA and dltA mutants. Error bars represent standard deviations between three biological repeats. Statistical analysis was performed for each mutant versus ATCC334 using the unpaired Student t test: *P < 0.01. (C) Autolysis profiles of ATCC 334 and the ldcA and dltA mutants. Cultures were grown to the exponential phase of growth (OD of 0.8), then the cells were pelleted and suspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Triton X-100 (v/v) and incubated at 30°C. Error bars represent standard deviations between three biological repeats.

carried out using crude cytosolic protein extracts of L. paracasei ATCC 334 (containing both CwaS and CwaR proteins), of McwaR mutant (containing CwaS—probably at a smaller level than ATCC 334 because of the alteration of transcription demonstrated before—but not CwaR) and of the McwaS mutant (containing CwaR but not CwaS protein) (Figure 5C). The PromcwaR probe was shifted when protein extracts from ATCC 334 and the McwaS mutant were used, but not by those from the McwaR mutant, for the same cytosolic proteins concentration (Figure 5D). Thus, CwaR is able to bind the promoting region of the operon in conditions that could not be replicated in vitro with the purified CwaR. It acts as the transcriptional regulator of its operon, and it needs some additional cellular elements and/or a protein co-factor to be active at this DNA site.

**Consequences of cwaR, cwaS, and ldcA Gene Inactivation on the Regulation of Genes Involved in Peptidoglycan Synthesis**

Transcriptomic analysis of 50 PG-related genes in McwaR, McwaS, and MldcA mutants compared to ATCC 334 was carried out in exponential and stationary phases of growth for two main purposes: (i) determining if the lack of LdcA was partly compensated by another enzyme and (ii) identifying the possible PG-related genes regulated by the CwaRS TCS. Only two genes were upregulated in the MldcA mutant: LSEI_0393 (for exponential phase only) and LSEI_0539 (Figure 6A and Supplementary Table S2). LSEI_0539 encodes a cell wall-associated hydrolase with an NLPC-P60 and a glucosamidase domain; it could act as an N-acetylgalcosaminidase or an endopeptidase (Layec et al., 2008). LSEI_0393 encodes a 1,4-beta-N-acetylmuramidase with a glyco_hydro_25 and a LysM domain (Layec et al., 2008). These proteins may bring functional compensation of the LdcA absence. These upregulations could explain the higher rate of autolysis in mutant. Overall, only a few PG-related genes were differentially transcribed in exponential phase, whereas many of these genes were downregulated in the stationary phase of growth in the McwaR mutant, and even more in MldcA mutant, as though gene regulation was directed to slow down the turnover of PG. The strongest downregulated genes in all mutants were LSEI_0020, LSEI_2029, and LSEI_2226 (Figure 6A). LSEI_2029 and LSEI_2226 encode predicted cell wall-associated hydrolases. The downregulation of genes in the stationary phase was generally less important in McwaS mutant than in McwaR mutant, suggesting that the regulator responds to other sensors. By searching the differences of relative transcript levels between McwaR mutant (inactivated TCS and lack of LdcA) and MldcA mutant (functional TCS and inactivated LdcA), we propose 17 candidate genes to be regulated by the CwaRS TCS. They can be split into two subgroups: (i) those that are differently expressed in the MldcA mutant...
(LSEI_0539, LSEI_0810, LSEI_0906, LSEI_1089, LSEI_1269-1272, LSEI_1314, LSEI_1802, and LSEI_2570), meaning a ldcA-dependent regulation (ldcA regulation influences the regulation of other genes), and (ii) those that are differently expressed in the McwaR mutant (LSEI_0223, LSEI_0251, and LSEI_0435), meaning a ldcA-independent regulation (Figure 6A). LSEI_0393 and LSEI_1909 belong to the genes possibly regulated by the TCS but, since their expression profiles varied between exponential and stationary phases, they cannot be classified in these subgroups. The 10 other genes whose transcription changed were regulated in a CwaRS TCS-independent manner (Figure 6A), meaning the involvement of additional regulatory system(s) in response to the lack of LdcA. Also, among all the tested genes, 23 were transcribed at similar levels whatever the condition (Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S2).

**Impact of cwaR, cwaS, and ldcA Gene Inactivation on the Surface Proteins**

Surface protein isolations by LiCl extraction were carried out for ATCC 334, McwaR, McwaS, and MldcA mutants and analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 6B). In accordance with genome annotation, no S-layer proteins were found. In the three mutant profiles, two bands, corresponding to proteins of about 40 kDa, were five-fold lower than in the ATCC 334 strain (Figure 6B). Protein sequence analysis of these spots revealed that they corresponded to LSEI_0020 and LSEI_2029 proteins, both annotated as surface antigens. This decrease was consistent with the downregulation of LSEI_0020 and LSEI_2029 genes in mutants (see above). The protein encoded by LSEI_0020 is orthologous to Msp2 (also named p40) of L. rhamnosus GG (Yan et al., 2013) and L. casei BL23 (85 and 95% identities, respectively). The LSEI_2029 protein shares 72% identity with LGG_02016, a surface antigen (NLP/P60) of L. rhamnosus. The N-terminal part of LSEI_2029 protein sequence presents 46% identity with the 224 first amino acids of LSEI_0020, whereas the C-terminal part presents 50% identity with the 112 amino acids of the C-terminal part of LSEI_0281, the Msp1 (p75) ortholog. The other differences in protein profiles between ATCC 334 and mutants correspond mainly to intracellular proteins which could be more easily released from mutants because of an increased susceptibility to the extraction procedure.

**Impact of Gene Inactivation on Some Deleterious Factors Characterizing the Gut**

Adhesion to eukaryotic components is a bacterial strategy to escape gut transit and interact with the gut. Thus, L. paracasei ATCC 334 and McwaR, McwaS, and MldcA mutants were tested.
for their ability to adhere to polystyrene coated with mucin. Adhesion to mucin was similar for the ATCC 334 and mutant strains (Supplementary Figure S2). Thus, the change in PG composition and the decrease of surface antigens did not impact the mucin adhesion ability of L. paracasei.

The resistance of the three mutants to stresses encountered in the ileum was assessed by competition with ATCC 334 in the following conditions: (i) low-nutrient, acidic (pH 3.5); (ii) low-nutrient, bile-supplemented (3 g.l\(^{-1}\)); and (iii) low-nutrient, lysozyme-supplemented (10 g.l\(^{-1}\)) (Figure 7A). The three mutants are not equal regarding stress resistance. The McwR mutant was less resistant than ATCC 334 for all the conditions tested, contrary to McwS and MldC mutants which did not display any significant change compared to ATCC 334. These results demonstrated that, taken separately, ileal physicochemical conditions are not enough to decrease the survival of the three mutants. They also suggest a specific involvement of the CwaRS TCS in response to stress, regardless of cell surface modifications. This proposal was confirmed by measuring the relative transcript level of the cwrR gene, taken as a reporter for the involvement of CwaRS during stress responses. Ten abiotic stresses were applied to ATCC 334 (penicillin, vancomycin, HCl, lactate, ethanol, NaCl, bile, heat, cold, SDS) as described in the section “Materials and Methods.” Transcript levels of cwrR increased when vancomycin or bile were added in the medium and also during a hot thermal stress, whereas transcript levels decreased in the presence of SDS, compared to the non-stressful reference condition (Figure 7B).

Thus, the CwaRS TCS could be recruited during gut transit in response to bile.

The effect of antimicrobial peptides, hBD1, hBD2, LL37, and CCL20 on the three mutants and L. paracasei ATCC 334 was assessed (Figure 7C). The MdlTA, MdlTC, and MdlTD mutants were added to this test as predicted susceptible mutants because LTA D-alanylation reduces the negative net charge of the cell wall surface, allowing the resistance against antimicrobial cationic peptides (Neuhaus and Baddiley, 2003). None of the mutants displayed an increased susceptibility to LL37. All mutants (except MdlTA) were more susceptible to hBD2 than ATCC334. Moreover, the McwR, McwS, and MldC mutants were susceptible to hBD1 and CCL20. The MldC mutant was even more susceptible to hBD1 and hBD2 than the other mutants. The three mutants of the operon were at least eight-fold more susceptible to hBD1 than ATCC 334 and at least six-fold more susceptible to hBD2 and CCL20. The antimicrobial cationic peptide susceptibility could be responsible for the decreased survival of the mutants observed in the ligated rabbit ileal loop (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014).

**DISCUSSION**

The symbiotic balance of the host with its microbiome is based on the regulation of the microbiota by the host immune system, and inversely of the immune system by its microbiota.
Actors responsible for the regulation of the microbiota are increasingly well understood (cationic peptides, cathelicidin, ...). The different species that comprise the microbiota must resist these different attacks, and also the stressful conditions of the gut. The cwaRS-ldcA operon of L. paracasei ATCC 334 is required to maintain the bacterium in the gut (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014).

PG composition analysis suggests that LdcA enzyme acts as an L,D-carboxypeptidase, and not as a D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase. The same difference between predictive and empiric functions was observed for DacB of Lactococcus lactis (Courtin et al., 2006). Also, the inactivation of ldcA did not alter cell morphology, contrary to what was observed for other PG hydrolases such as LSEI_0281 (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014), a gene whose orthologs, p75 and msp1, have been studied in L. casei BL23 and in L. rhamnosus GG, respectively (Claes et al., 2012; Regulski et al., 2012). Moreover, according to our observations, PG thickness was unchanged in the MldcA mutant.

The cwaRS genes (encoding the TCS) are in an operon with the ldcA gene, so we hypothesized that they were related to cell wall biogenesis regulation. This assumption was confirmed by transcriptomic analysis. The CwaRS TCS is able to regulate the expression of 17 cell wall-related genes including genes encoding enzymes dedicated to lipid II synthesis. On the other hand, CwaRS TCS-independent genes encode mainly enzymes dedicated to PG assembly. This is probably the consequence of PG architecture modifications due to the inactivation of the LdcA enzyme. In addition to its cell wall-related function, the CwaRS TCS is required to resist several stresses since the McwaR mutant was less able to survive in bile, acid, or lysozyme than ATCC 334 or the MldcA mutant. This particular phenotype is consistent

FIGURE 7 | Role of cwaSR genes in adaptation to gut conditions and to reference stresses. (A) Competition in stressful conditions between L. paracasei mutants (McwaR, McwaS, and MldcA) and ATCC 334. The competitions were carried out in diluted MRS broth (1/20) in 16 h at 37°C; acid pH 3.5; bile 3 g.L⁻¹; lysozyme 10 g.L⁻¹. The percentage was determined by counting on Petri dishes. Three biological repeats were performed, and bars indicate standard deviations. Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired Student t-test: *P < 0.01; **P < 0.001. (B) Relative transcript levels of cwaR gene in L. paracasei ATCC 3334 under stressful conditions. L. paracasei was grown until OD reached 0.6. Then, a 15-min stress was applied. Transcript levels are expressed as the relative fold change, with L. paracasei cells incubated at 37°C as the reference condition (fold change = 1). Pen, penicillin 0.1 µg/ml; Van, vancomycin 0.5 mg/ml; HCl, HCl added to reach pH 3; Lac, lactic acid added to reach pH 3; Eth, ethanol 15% (vol/vol); NaCl, NaCl 1 M; Bile, bile 3 g/l; SDS, SDS 0.5 g/l. Four biological repeats were performed, and bars indicate standard deviations; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. (C) Percentage of PI (+) bacteria (permeabilized cell) after treatment with hBD1, hBD2, LL37, and CCL20. Values were obtained by flow cytometry. * Indicate significant differences (P < 0.05).
with previous reports on *L. casei* (Alcantara et al., 2011). The best candidate for the regulation of CwaRS TCS-independent genes is the LSEI_2808-2807 TCS because it is structurally close to the WalKR TCS, a TCS playing a role in cell wall metabolism in other bacterial genera (Bisichia et al., 2007; Dubrac et al., 2007; Delaune et al., 2011).

A global downregulation of predicted PG hydrolases was observed in the *MldcA* mutant, particularly during the stationary phase of growth. It can be assumed that this mutant required less PG hydrolases because of its PG structure (absence of relevant muropeptide substrate) or because of a lower PG turnover. The genes LSEI_0020, LSEI_2029, and LSEI_2226 encode PG hydrolases with no predicted specificity. LSEI_2226 N-terminal domain is homologous to the *L. rhamnosus* putative cell wall hydrolase LGG_02225. These genes presented the strongest downregulations in the *MldcA* mutant. As they are similarly downregulated in the TCS mutants (McwaR and McwaS mutants), which are more susceptible to stress than the *MldcA* mutant, their lower transcriptions cannot be correlated with resistance to stress. Nevertheless, a differential posttranscriptional regulation between mutants cannot be excluded. Indeed, PG hydrolase activity can be modulated by their own O-glycosylation (Lebeer et al., 2012; Rolain et al., 2013) or by PG modifications such as NAG O-acetylation (Bernard et al., 2011), NAG N-acetylation (Meyrand et al., 2007), or by teichoic acid D-alanylation (Steen et al., 2005; Palumbo et al., 2006).

We assumed that the change in PG structure would also affect the barrier function of the cell wall. Above all, for the mutants, the two surface antigens (LSEI_0020 and LSEI_2029) are less abundant at the surface. This can be explained by a decrease of gene expression and/or by an alteration of the anchoring at the PG layer. The first proposal is validated for both genes. The protein encoded by LSEI_0020 is the ortholog of *p40* (or *msp2*) that can bind mucin (Bauerl et al., 2010). Nevertheless, in our conditions, no statistically significant differences between mutants and ATCC 334 were observed for adhesion to mucin. In *L. rhamnosus*, several intestinal epithelium homeostasis-promoting functions were attributed to p40. The p40 protein can activate Akt [protein kinase B (PKB)], inhibit cytokine-induced epithelial cell apoptosis, induce colon epithelial cell growth, and decrease epithelial barrier damage induced by hydrogen peroxide (Yan et al., 2007; Seth et al., 2008). The protein encoded by LSEI_2029 is the ortholog of the *L. rhamnosus* LGG_0216 antigen (NlpC/P60 family) which is overexpressed in an acidic environment (Koponen et al., 2012). The mutant for LSEI_2029 is not altered in gut establishment in the rabbit ligated ileal loop model (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014), suggesting that the decrease of LSEI_2029 antigen presentation alone is not enough to explain TCS and *MldcA* mutant phenotypes in the rabbit gut. It is interesting to note that in *L. casei* ATCC 393, there is no LSEI_2029 homolog, and the LBCZ_0019 (the LSEI_0020 homolog) expression is three times lower and predicted to be secreted (Johnson et al., 2016). The phenotype of the three mutants can be explained by either the change in PG composition or the decreased presentation of the two antigens, or the combination of these two changes to the *L. paracasei* cell surface architecture.

The *MldcA* mutant did not display an increased susceptibility to gut stresses currently tested, but the three cwaRS-*ldcA* mutants are more susceptible than ATCC 334 to hBD1, hBD2, and CCL20 antimicrobial peptides. Thus, the lack of LdcA modifies PG structure without changing the global surface charge, which increases susceptibility to AMPs. This phenomenon is independent of the functionality of CwaRS TCS. This could entirely explain the three mutant deficiencies in gut establishment. It makes sense regarding the mechanism of action of AMPs in Gram-positive bacteria. AMPs pass through the PG and inhibit PG synthesis. Indeed, PG precursors are activated and transported through the plasma membrane. The presence of AMP in the plasma membrane can disturb the translocation of these precursors and the PG organization (transglycosylation and transpeptidation) (Yeaman and Yount, 2003). *L. paracasei* ATCC 334 is resistant to hBD2, whereas *L. rhamnosus* GG and *L. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* are susceptible (De Keersmaecker et al., 2006; Hugo et al., 2012). However, some other cell envelope changes could occur simultaneously, such as cell membrane structural changes in addition to PG alteration (which could also the sensitivity to nisin and AMPs). Indeed, PG structural perturbation may well be the result in a generalized cell envelope stress response which would be governed by other sensor/response systems in addition to this operon system and have a more global impact on gene expression. In this case, cell envelope stress response could be caused by structural damage.

Our results reinforce the importance of cell wall envelope in the host–microbe interaction and in bacterial adaptation to environmental changes since it is the foundation for an optimal cell wall structure (including cell wall-anchored proteins) (Sengupta et al., 2013; Delhaye et al., 2019). Deficiency in gut establishment for other *L. paracasei* mutants we have previously identified (Licandro-Seraut et al., 2014) could also result from PG modification: (i) the mutants for genes related to biogenesis of the cell wall (*dlf* operon, the LSEI_0238 and LSEI_2546 polysaccharide transporters); (ii) the *ldhL1* (LSEI_2549) mutant which should be impacted in the production of lactate, a PG constituent (Ferain et al., 1996; Rico et al., 2008); (iii) the *ansB* (Asn synthase) mutant found in our screening which is reported to present an altered PG and to lose immune-activating capacity (Ito et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

The cwaRS-*ldcA* operon is composed of a functional TCS involved in the regulation of PG synthesis and a PBP which acts as a putative L,D-carboxypeptidase instead of a D-Ala-D-Ala carboxypeptidase as predicted. This operon seems to be necessary for antigen presentation and for a suitable architecture of the bacterial surface. Hence, thanks to the CwaRS TCS and LdcA, *L. paracasei* cells are able to model their surface architecture in a manner that allows them to establish in the gut and to resist host defenses.
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